

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 5260 of 1991

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE J.N.BHATT

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : NO
to see the judgements?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO
of the judgement?
 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO
of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution
of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

RAMBHAI S PATEL

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT

Appearance:

MR PM THAKKAR for Petitioner
M/S PATEL ADVOCATES for Respondent No. 1
RULE SERVED for Respondent No. 2, 3

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE J.N.BHATT

Date of decision: 16/07/1999

ORAL JUDGEMENT

#. When the matter was called out, it was pointed out by the learned advocate Mr. Patel for the respondent authority that by virtue of a letter dated 11.2.93, all retiral benefits have been paid and therefore, this petition does not survive. Mr. Kavina learned advocate for the petitioner states that in case of difficulty, liberty may be reserved.

#. In the circumstances, this petition assumes no further survival value as it has become infructuous and the amount due and payable has been already paid. However, since the original person-the petitioner has died, in case of difficulty, liberty is reserved. This petition stands disposed of accordingly. Rule discharged. No costs.